# Ensemble-variational assimilation with NEMOVAR Part 2: experiments with the ECMWF system

Toulouse, 20/06/2017

Marcin Chrust<sup>1</sup>, Hao Zuo<sup>1</sup> and Anthony Weaver<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ECMWF, UK <sup>2</sup> CERFACS, FR

Marcin.chrust@ecmwf.int



# Outline

- Ocean Data Assimilation at ECMWF
- Ensemble Generation
- Diagnostics
- Experiments
- Future directions

# NEMOVAR

- NEMOVAR is developed by a consortium of ECMWF, Met Office, CERFACS and INRIA;
- NEMOVAR is an incremental variational data assimilation system for the NEMO ocean model
  - Solves a linearized version of the full non-linear cost function;
  - Incremental 3D-Var FGAT running operational, 4D-Var working on research model;

$$J[\delta \mathbf{w}] = \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{G} \,\delta \mathbf{w} - \delta \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{o}})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{G} \,\delta \mathbf{w} - \delta \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{o}})$$

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \mathbf{G}_{i} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{M}(t_{i}, t_{0}) \mathbf{K} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \partial \mathbf{G}_{i} / \partial \mathbf{w}|_{\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{b}}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{G}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}} \,\mathbf{M}(t_{i}, t_{0})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

• Background errors are correlated between different variables through balance operator;

# Summary of ECMWF Ocean (Re)analysis

The main purpose of the ocean (Re)analysis at ECMWF

- to provide initial conditions for coupled model forecasts;
- to provide initial conditions for the extended range forecasts (seasonal and monthly);
- ocean reanalyses are valuable resources for climate monitoring, climate variability studies, and verification of climate models;





#### Slides from M. Balmaseda

	ORAS4	ORAP5 (2015)	ORAS5 (2016)
Resolution	~1deg Vertical ~10m in upper 200m	~0.25 deg Vertical 75 levels	~0.25 deg Vertical ~ 1-10m in upper 200m
Model	NEMO V3.0. Prescribed Sea Ice	NEMO V3.4. LIM2 ice model +Wave effects	<ul> <li>+ TKE mixing in partial ice cover</li> <li>+ New z(lat) for wind enhanced mixing</li> </ul>
Data assimilation	NEMOVAR V3.0 10 days assimilation window	NEMOVAR V3.4 Revised de-correlation scales 5 days assim window + Sea Ice assimilation	+Stability check for bias correction +modified QC +decreased deep ocean bck err +Change in vertical interpolation (spline)
Surface forcing	E4(<1989) EI (< 2010)- Ops Flux forcing	EI (1979-2013) Bulk formula +wave effects	E4 (<1979) EI (<2015) OPS Bulk formula + wave effects
Observations	T/S from EN3 XBT corr Wijffels et al 2008 Altimeter v3-v4-v5 SST E4-Olv2-OPS <sup>(0)</sup>	T/S from EN3 XBT corr Wijffels et al (2008) Altimeter: DUACS 2010 SST and SIC from OSTIA reanalyses	T/S from EN4 XBT corr. Gouretsky et al (2013) Altimeter: DUACS 2014 (new MDT) SST from HadISST SIC from OSTIA <sup>(1)</sup>
Time Span	1958-present	1979-2013	1979-present (back extend to 1955)
Ensembles	5 members. Pert: Ini: time sampling Stress: E4-NCEP Obs coverage	None	5 members. Pert: Ini: Different assim valid at 1975 New Forcing Pert (stress, sst, sic, emp, solar) Obs Perturbations

# **ORAS5** operational Implementation

The operational ORAS5 comprises NRT suite and BRT suite with a delay between two suites defined by \$NRTOFFSET = N

- Behind Real Time (BRT) run every 5 day with a delay of N+1 days (BRT delay = Current day last day in the BRT window) and provide initial condition for NRT system.
- Near Real Time (NRT) run daily with variable assimilation window (N+2 to N+6) in two chunks: first 5day chunk initialized from delayed BRT system and second chunk with variable length, where the last day is effectively a forecast (with FC forcing fields and SST/SIC from previous day).

This operational ORAS5 task is carried out at 14Z everyday and produce restart file from NRT system that will be used as ocean initial condition valid on 0Z the following morning for the coupled forecasting system.

	Stream-1	Stream-2	Stream-3	
	3D daily	3D 5D-mean	2D daily	
BRT	YES	YES	YES	
NRT	YES	NO	YES	

Output stream

#### ECMWF EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

# Outline

- Ocean Data Assimilation at ECMWF
- Ensemble Generation
- Diagnostics
- Experiments
- Future directions

#### Ensemble generation – why do we need ensembles?



- Discrepancies between the specified and expected background-error standard deviations are signal of sub-optimality in the covariance specifications.
- There is evidence of missing flow dependence in B, especially in response to the changing observing system (**black curve**)
- An ensemble-base B should be able to capture this flow dependence

#### Ensemble generation - observation representativeness error

Errors introduced by the interpolation operator and by the finite resolution of the model fields are often accounted for as **representativeness errors of observations**. It is introduced by degrading physical observations to model resolution. In ORAS5 we have implemented perturbation schemes for ocean in-situ and surface observations to account for their representativeness errors.

- Perturbation of geographical locations of in-situ profiles
- Perturbation of vertical depths of in-situ profiles
- Perturbation of gridded sea ice concentration data
- Perturbation of along-track SLA data

#### Perturbing geographic location of in-situ observations

A random noise (perturbation) can be added to the recorded geographic location of any given profile from the EN4 dataset. It is equivalent to shifting the observation profile horizontally in map within a pre-defined distance (**P**erturbation **D**istance). The random noise was generated using a random number generator and with probability density function of uniformed distribution. Ocean in-situ profiles in ORAS5 are horizontally perturbed to a random location within a circle, with PD=50 km.

- P1: perturb the profile with random distance (0 to PD) and angle (0-360 degree)
- P2: perturb the profile with constant distance (PD) and random angle (0-360 degree)
- P3: perturb latitude of profile with random distance (0 to PD)
- P4: perturb longitude of profile with random distance (0 to PD)
- P5: perturb both latitude and longitude with the same random distance (0 to PD)



Fig. Geographic locations daily EN4 profiles in 20130101 and perturbed profile with 200 member ensemble; for illustration purpose only XBT and Moorings profiles are prtubed with a large PD value (200 km), using perturbing strategy P2 and P5, respectively. Source: Zuo et al., Tech. Memorandum No. 795



#### Perturbing vertical location of in-situ observations





The representativeness error of in-situ observations at depth due to limited model vertical resolution can be represented by a stratified sampling method using predefined depth ranges as different sampling groups. In ORAS5, each model level are evenly separated into two thinning level. Contribution to the model uncertainty predominately comes from ocean domains below 1000m where model vertical resolution is reduced significantly

Fig. Example of stratified samling method used for vertical perturbation of in-situ observations, as demonstrated with a CTD profile from EN4 dataset and thinning factor N=3 with 5 ensemble members. Within each thinning layer only one observation, if exists, is selected using simple random sampling method. Source: Zuo et al., Tech. Memorandum No. 795



#### Perturbing along-track SLA observations

For along-track SLA observations, the super-observation scheme as implemented in ORAS4 and ORAP5 has been modified to include random sampling scheme.

 SLA observations within the same day and within each superob-grid (~100 km resolution) are randomly selected, with the same OBE as calculated using the superobing scheme (see Mogensen et al. 2012) raw



Ensemble spread of sea level

120"W

thinning reanalysis for 5 yrs

60°W

member in  $\frac{1}{4}$  degree

evaluated with 5 ensemble

12

T/P: 13219

60°E

Jason-1 N: 0

Jason-2:0

share the same obs number,

superob grid and OBE

0.10

120\*E

0.14



#### Ensemble generations – other perturbations in ORAS5

Surface perturbations

- Generic procedure for additive perturbations according to 4 criteria:
- 1. Variables: SST, SIC, P-E, solar, taux, tauy
- 2. Structural Uncertainty: diff between 2 analysis systems SST/SIC: OSTIA-ESACCI

Stress: EI-OPS, Uncertainty in bulk formulation, E4-NCEP

3. Analysis Uncertainty:

SST: from HadISST (10 ensemble member)

- P-E, solar, stress: from ERA-20C (5 ensemble member)
- 4. Temporal resolution: monthly and pentad band-pass filter. Mean removed Only monthly in ORAS5. Pentad can be superimposed to inflate ensemble when initializing the forecasts.

Initial condition perturbations

Prepare for use EDA in Ocean data assimilation and Hybrid B for the next version of NEMOVAR

# Outline

- Ocean Data Assimilation at ECMWF
- Diagnostics
- Diagnostics
- Experiments
- Future directions

# Ensemble diagnostics - temperature



Fig. The ensemble spread (left), specified BGE standard deviations (middle) and diagnosed BGE standard deviations (right) for temperature. Ensemble spread has been computed as the standard deviations of temperature background fields from ORAS5 at 100m, temporally averaged over the 2010-2013 period, and spatially averaged into 5x5 degree grid boxes; source: Zuo et al., Technical Memorandum No. 795.

#### **Ensemble diagnostics - temperature**



Fig. Vertical profiles of ensemble spread for temperature. Ensemble spreads have been computed as the standard deviations of temperature background fields, temporally averaged over the 2010-2013 period, and spatially averaged over different ocean domains for: northern extratropics (nxtrp: 30N to 70N), southern extratropics (sxtrp: 70S to 30S) and tropics (trop: 30S to 30N).  $\sigma_b^d$  is the diagnosed BGE standard deviation from ORAS5 (Cyan dashed lines) using Desroziers method.  $\sigma_s^d$  is the specified BGE standard deviation using empirical formulation (grey shaded areas) which serves as a reference: Zuo et al., Technical Memorandum No. 795.

# **Ensemble diagnostics - salinity**



Fig. The ensemble spread (left), specified BGE standard deviations (middle) and diagnosed BGE standard deviations (right) for temperature. Ensemble spread has been computed as the standard deviations of salinity background fields from ORAS5 at 100m, temporally averaged over the 2010-2013 period, and spatially averaged into 5x5 degree grid boxes; source: Zuo et al., Technical Memorandum No. 795.

#### **Ensemble diagnostics - salinity**



Fig. Vertical profiles of ensemble spread for salinity. Ensemble spreads have been computed as the standard deviations of temperature background fields, temporally averaged over the 2010-2013 period, and spatially averaged over different ocean domains for: northern extratropics (nxtrp: 30N to 70N), southern extratropics (sxtrp: 70S to 30S) and tropics (trop: 30S to 30N).  $\sigma_b^d$  is the diagnosed BGE standard deviation from ORAS5 (Cyan dashed lines) using Desroziers method.  $\sigma_s^d$  is the specified BGE standard deviation using empirical formulation (grey shaded areas) which serves as a reference: Zuo et al., Technical Memorandum No. 795.

# Ensemble diagnostics – sensitivity to ensemble size and combined perturbations



Fig. Vertical profiles of ensemble spread for temperature and salinity. Ensemble spreads have been computed as the standard deviations of temperature background fields, temporally averaged over the 2004-2006 period, and spatially averaged over different ocean domains for tropics (trop: 30S to 30N).  $\sigma_b^d$  is the diagnosed BGE standard deviation from ORAS5 (Cyan dashed lines) using Desroziers method.  $\sigma_s^d$  is the specified BGE standard deviation deviation (grey shaded areas) which serves as a reference.

#### Ensemble diagnostics - ensemble reliability



Fig. Geographical distribution of (a,c,e) SST ensemble variances (in K<sup>2</sup>) and (b,d,f) squared ensemble mean departure against OSTIA SST for (a,b) Hpert50, (c,d) Hpert100, and (e,f) Hpert200. Both SST ensemble variances and squared errors have been computed using monthly mean SST from three perturbation experiments, and averaged over the 2004-2011 period. Here observation error variances from OSTIA SST have been subtracted from squared ensemble mean errors. Source: Zuo et al, Tech. Memorandum No. 795.

#### Ensemble diagnostics - ensemble reliability



Fig. Geographical distribution of model (top) SLA ensemble variances (in m<sup>2</sup>) and (bottom) squared ensemble mean departure against AVISO DUACS2014 MSLA. Both SLA ensemble variances and squared ensemble mean errors have been computed using monthly mean SLA analysis from SLApert, and averaged over the 2000-2004 period. Here squared observation error from AVISO MSLA have been subtracted from squared ensemble mean errors. Source: Zuo et al, Tech. Memorandum No. 795.

# Outline

- Ocean Data Assimilation at ECMWF
- Ensemble Generation
- Hybrid Background Covariance
- Experiments
- Future directions



Fig. First experiments with ensemble based background error variances. ORCA1\_Z42, 2 years of integration, O-B RMSE averaged profiles for T; gmpd – old NEMOVAR, goqu – new NEMOVAR, gor1 – ens. var., inf. fact. 1, gor2 – ens. var., inf. fact. 2, gor3 – ens. var., inf. fact. 3, gor4 – ens. var., inf. fact. 4, goug – ens. var., inf. fact. 5; gor1-goug purely ensemble based inflated filtered variances: significant improvement in extra-tropics and visible degradation in tropical regions



Fig. First experiments with ensemble based background error variances. ORCA1\_Z42, 2 years of integration, O-B RMSE averaged profiles for T; gmpd – old NEMOVAR, goqu – new NEMOVAR, gor1 – ens. var., inf. fact. 1, gor2 – ens. var., inf. fact. 2, gor3 – ens. var., inf. fact. 3, gor4 – ens. var., inf. fact. 4, goug – ens. var., inf. fact. 5; gor1-goug purely ensemble based inflated filtered variances: significant improvement in extra-tropics and visible degradation in tropical regions



Fig. First experiments with ensemble based background error variances. ORCA1\_Z42, 2 years of integration, O-B RMSE averaged profiles for T; gmpd – old NEMOVAR, goqu – new NEMOVAR, gor1 – ens. var., inf. fact. 1, gor2 – ens. var., inf. fact. 2, gor3 – ens. var., inf. fact. 3, gor4 – ens. var., inf. fact. 4, goug – ens. var., inf. fact. 5; gor1-goug purely ensemble based inflated filtered variances: significant improvement in extra-tropics and visible degradation in tropical regions







gor1





100-80-60-50-60-30-20-10-05-02 02 05 1.0 20 30 40 50 60 80100



<sup>-100-80-60-50-40-30-20-10-05-02-02-05-10-20-30-40-50-60-80100</sup> 



-100-8.0-6.0-5.0-6.0-3.0-2.0-1.0-0.5-0.2 0.2 0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 8.0 10.0







-100-80-60-50-40-30-20-10-05-02-02-05-10-20-30-40-50-60-80100



-100-89-60-50-40-30-20-10-05-02-02-05-1.0-20-30-40-50-60-80100



-100-80-60-50-60-30-20-10-05-02 02 05 1.0 20 30 40 50 60 80100



-100-80 40-50 40-30 -20 -10 -05 -02 02 05 10 20 30 40 50 40 80 100

gor2







-100-80-60-50-40-30-20-10-05-02-02-05-10-20-30-40-50-60-80100



-100-80-60-50-40-30-20-10-05-02-02-05-10-20-30-40-50-60-80100





-100 -80 -60 -50 -60 -30 -20 -10 -03 -02 02 05 1.0 20 30 4.0 50 60 8.0 100

gor3



6



-100-80-60-50-40-30-20-10-05-02-02-05-10-20-30-40-50-60-80100



-100-80-60-50-40-30-20-10-05-02-02-05-10-20-30-40-50-60-80100





-100-80-60-50-60-30-20-10-05-02-02-05-1.0-20-30-60-50-60-80-100

EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS





Fig. First experiments with ensemble based background error variances. ORCA1\_Z42, 2 years of integration, O-B RMSE averaged profiles for S; gmpd – old NEMOVAR, goqu – new NEMOVAR, gor1 – ens. var., inf. fact. 1, gor2 – ens. var., inf. fact. 2, gor3 – ens. var., inf. fact. 3, gor4 – ens. var., inf. fact. 4, goug – ens. var., inf. fact. 5; gor1-goug purely ensemble based inflated filtered variances: significant improvement in extra-tropics and visible degradation in tropical regions



Fig. First experiments with ensemble based background error variances. ORCA1\_Z42, 2 years of integration, O-B RMSE averaged profiles for S; gmpd – old NEMOVAR, goqu – new NEMOVAR, gor1 – ens. var., inf. fact. 1, gor2 – ens. var., inf. fact. 2, gor3 – ens. var., inf. fact. 3, gor4 – ens. var., inf. fact. 4, goug – ens. var., inf. fact. 5; gor1-goug purely ensemble based inflated filtered variances: significant improvement in extra-tropics and visible degradation in tropical regions



Fig. First experiments with ensemble based background error variances. ORCA1\_Z42, 2 years of integration, O-B RMSE averaged profiles for S; gmpd – old NEMOVAR, goqu – new NEMOVAR, gor1 – ens. var., inf. fact. 1, gor2 – ens. var., inf. fact. 2, gor3 – ens. var., inf. fact. 3, gor4 – ens. var., inf. fact. 4, goug – ens. var., inf. fact. 5; gor1-goug purely ensemble based inflated filtered variances: significant improvement in extra-tropics and visible degradation in tropical regions

#### Hybrid Variances: preliminary experiments – salinity increments



**EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS** 



EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

# Outline

- Ocean Data Assimilation at ECMWF
- Ensemble Generation
- Hybrid Background Covariance
- Experiments
- Future directions

# Summary

• The new generic ensemble generation scheme including both perturbations of assimilated observations and surface forcing fields has been developed;

• The ensemble spread captures the uncertainty in the background errors in eddy active regions;

- The temperature ensemble spread compared to the diagnosed temperature background error standard deviation is too low especially in the tropical regions;
- The geographical distribution of the salinity spread is consistent with the diagnosed salinity background error standard deviations but the magnitude is too low;
- The SLA ensemble spread is under-dispersive
- Deep ocean temperature and salinity spread collapses.

# **Future directions**

• Attempt to combine the specified/parametrized background error standard deviations with the ensemble based accounting for shortcomings of the ensemble;

- Regional dependency of the weighting/inflation factors as well as depth dependency seems to be required;
- Carry out experiments at 1/4 degree and evaluate the impact of the ensemble size;
- Evaluate errors of the day;